Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary		Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	200	10/091,080	HUNT ET AL.	
	ary	Examiner	Art Unit	
		Kevin M. Bernatz	1773	·
All Participants: Status of Application: <u>final rejection</u>				
(1) <u>Kevin M. Bernatz</u> .		(3)		
(2) <u>Daniel Biesterveld</u> .		(4)		
Date of Interview: <u>8 December 2005</u>		Time: <u>AM</u>		
Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ Note that If Yes, provide a brief description:	• •	nt's representative)		
Part I.				
Rejection(s) discussed: n/a				
Claims discussed: 1,13,14,16,17,19				
Prior art documents discussed: n/a				
Part II.				
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE the Examiner proposed language to attempt to quantify				reached
Part III.				
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a se directly resulted in the allowance of the applicat of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a se did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief section of the interview is not necessary for applicant to provide a section of the interview is not necessary. 	tion. The eparate re	examiner will provide a writte cord of the substance of the	en summary of th interview, since t	e substance
Kim ht				
(Examiner/SPE Signature) (A	pplicant/A	Applicant's Representative Sig	nature – if appro	priate)